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| $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 1998 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| （UNAUDITED） |  |

## ASSETS

Current assets：

Cash and cash equivalents
Investments
Accounts receivable－nonfactored（net of allowances for doubtful accounts of $\$ 397,000$ at March 31， 1998 and $\$ 351,000$ at December 31， 1997
Due from factor（net of allowances for doubtful accounts of $\$ 345,000$
at March 31， 1998 and $\$ 335,000$ at December 31，1997）
Inventories
Prepaid advertising
Prepaid expenses and other current assets
Prepaid taxes
Total current assets
Property and equipment，net
$\$ 3,608,000$
$1,487,000$

$1,844,000$
$5,915,000$
$6,109,000$
295,000
$1,448,000$
148,000
-------
$20,854,000$
--------
$6,487,000$

1，041，000 401， 000 148， 000

1，949， 000
－－－－－－－－－－
3，539，000
－－－－－－－－－－－
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝


## Commitments and contingencies

STOCKHOLDERS＇EQUITY
Common stock－\＄．0001 par value，60，000，000 shares authorized，8，649，773
issued and outstanding at March 31， 1998 and 8，429，073 issued and outstanding at December 31， 1997
Additional paid－in capital
Unearned compensation
Retained earnings
Treasury stock at cost（101，800 shares）
Total stockholders＇equity

1，000
1,000
$22,938,000$
$(1,244,000)$
6，583， 000
（457，000）
27，821， 000
\＄30，880， 000

1，976， 000
\＄105，000
2，032，000
593， 000
395， 000
3，125，000
359， 000

1，000
21，721， 000
$(1,281,000)$
5，809， 000
$(457,000)$
25，793， 000
\＄29，277，000
\＄3，887，000
1，991， 000

1，127，000
4，821， 000
5，081， 000 441， 000
1，698， 000 624， 000

19，670， 000
5，931， 000

1，041，000
401， 000
258， 000

3，676，000
\＄29，277， 000
ニニニニニニニニニ＝
1,000
$21,721,000$
$(1,281,000)$
$5,809,000$
$(457,000)$
--------
$25,793,000$
---------
$\$ 29,277,000$
$==========$

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1997 |
| Net sales | \$16,511, 000 | \$13,218, 000 |
| Cost of sales | 9,485, 000 | 8,608,000 |
| Gross profit | 7,026,000 | 4,610,000 |
| Other revenue | 764,000 | 362, 000 |
| Operating expenses | $(6,451,000)$ | $(4,309,000)$ |
| Income from operations | 1,339, 000 | 663,000 |
| Interest income (expense), net | ( 26,000 ) | 6,000 |
| INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES | 1,313, 000 | 669,000 |
| Provision for income taxes | 540,000 | 268,000 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 773,000 | \$ 401,000 |
| BASIC INCOME PER SHARE | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.05 |
| DILUTED INCOME PER SHARE | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.05 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING - BASIC INCOME PER SHARE | 8,411,770 | 7,895,504 |
| Effect of potential common shares | 1,772, 241 | 531, 701 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING - DILUTED INCOME PER SHARE | 10, 184, 011 | 8,427,205 |



NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 1998

## NOTE A - BASIS OF REPORTING

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, such statements include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring items) which are considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position of Steven Madden, Ltd. and subsidiaries (the "Company") at March 31, 1998, and the results of its operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the three months then ended. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 1998 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year. It is suggested that these financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related disclosures for the year ended December 31, 1997 included in the Steve Madden, Ltd. Form 10-KSB.

## NOTE B - INVENTORIES

Inventories, which consist of finished goods, are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market.

NOTE C - NET INCOME PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK
In 1997, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 128, "Earnings Per Share". Statement No. 128 replaced the calculation of primary and fully diluted earnings per share with basic and diluted earnings per share. Unlike primary earnings per share, basic earnings per share exclude any dilutive effects of options, warrants and convertible securities. Dilutive earnings per share is very similar to the previously reported fully diluted earnings per share. The Company adopted Statement No. 128 and has retroactively applied the effects thereof for all periods presented. The impact on the per share amounts previously reported was not significant.

NOTE D - PENDING LITIGATION
[1] On or about March 13, 1998, the Company, its wholly owned subsidiary, Diva Acquisition Corp. ("Diva"), and its Chief Executive Officer were sued by Yves Levenson, the former President of Diva, as a result of the termination of Mr. Levenson's employment on March 5, 1998. In this action, entitled YVES LEVENSON V. STEVE MADDEN, STEVEN MADDEN, LTD. AND DIVA ACQUISITION CORP., which is pending in the Supreme Court of New York, County of New York, Mr. Levenson alleges that (i) Diva has breached the terms of his employment agreement by improperly terminating his employment without cause, (ii) the restrictive convenant contained in his employment contract should be declared unenforceable because it improperly restricts his ability to earn a living, and (iii) the Company and Steve Madden tortiously interfered with Mr. Levenson's economic expectations. In his lawsuit, Mr. Levenson seeks damages in an amount based on his prospective compensation under his employment agreement, plus punitive damages and an injunction barring Diva's enforcement of the restrictive convenant.

On April 21, 1998, the Company and its Chief Executive Officer moved to dismiss Mr. Levenson's complaint as to them on the ground that his complaint failed to set forth a viable legal cause of action. That motion is presently pending. Also on April 21, Diva filed an answer denying Mr. Levenson's claims in their entirety. Pursuant to applicable rules, Mr. Levenson and Diva have commenced discovery.
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NOTE D - PENDING LITIGATION (CONTINUED)

## [1] (continued)

On May 11, 1998, Mr. Levenson served an amended complaint which, in addition to the claims asserted in his initial complaint, he has asserted two additional claims: (i) a claim against the Company as guarantor of Diva's financial obligations under Mr. Levenson's employment contract, and (ii) a claim against Diva under the New York Labor Law for unpaid wages. Pursuant to his amended complaint, Mr. Levenson seeks lost earnings under his employment agreement, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and legal costs.

The Company believes that Mr. Levenson's claims are completely without merit, and intends to vigorously contest his lawsuit.
[2] On or about March 13, 1998, the Company, its wholly owned subsidiary, Steven Madden Retail, Inc., and Stav Efrat were sued by Ooga Associated Corp. ("Ooga"), a design and construction firm previously engaged by the Company to design and construct certain of the Company's retail shoe stores. In this action, entitled OOGA ASSOCIATES CORP. V. STEVEN MADDEN, INC., STEVEN MADDEN RETAIL, INC., STEVEN MADDEN, LTD. AND STAV EFRAT, which is pending in the Supreme Court of New York, County of New York, Ooga principally alleges that (i) the Company breached an oral contract pursuant to which it engaged Ooga to exclusively design and build the Company's retail shoe stores, (ii) the Company induced Mr. Efrat, an officer and director of Ooga, to breach his fiduciary duties to Ooga by improperly employing his services, and (iii) the Company misappropriated Ooga's trade secrets by impermissibly using store designs and concepts owned by Ooga. In its lawsuit, Ooga seeks damages consisting of amounts based on its prospective earnings under the alleged oral contract with the Company, its lost earnings on certain projects it claims to have abandoned or forgone in reliance on the alleged oral contract with the Company, and on the value of the designs and concepts allegedly misappropriated by the Company, of a material amount, and also seeks an injunction prohibiting the Company from using Ooga's designs or other proprietary information, from employing any Ooga employees or interfering with Ooga's contractual relationships with its customers. The Company believes that Ooga's claims are completely without merit, and intends to vigorously contest its lawsuit.
[3] On April 29, 1998, the Company and Diva were sued by David Siskin, Diva's former Vice President of Design, as a result of the termination of Mr. Siskin's employment on March 5, 1998. In this action, entitled DAVID AARON SISKIN V. DIVA ACQUISITION CORP. AND STEVEN MADDEN, LTD., which is pending in the Supreme Court of New York, County of New York, Mr. Siskin alleges that (i) Diva breached the terms of his employment agreement, (ii) Diva and the Company violated the New York Labor Law by failing to pay compensation due him under his employment agreement, (iii) Diva and the Company fraudulently induced Plaintiff to enter into his employment agreement, (iv) Diva and the Company breached their purported fiduciary duties to Mr. Siskin, (v) Diva and the Company wrongfully misappropriated property belonging to Mr. Siskin, (vi) Diva and the Company wrongfully interfered with Mr. Siskin's ability to earn a bonus under his employment agreement, (vii) Diva and the Company have been unjustly enriched by virtue of their conduct towards Mr. Siskin, and (viii) the restrictive covenant contained in Mr. Siskin's employment agreement is unenforceable as a matter of law and Diva should be permanently enjoined from enforcing it. In addition to the injunctive relief sought, Mr. Siskin seeks damages in an amount based on his prospective compensation under his employment agreement, plus an additional 25\% alleged to be due under the Labor Law, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, interest and costs. The company believes that Mr. Siskin's claims are completely without merit, and intends to vigorously contest his lawsuit.
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NOTE D - PENDING LITIGATION (CONTINUED)
[4] On May 11, 1998, Diva commenced an action in federal district court against D. Aaron, Inc., Nadine \& Co., Yves Levenson, David Siskin, Mr. Siskin's wife, Nadine Levenson, Mr. Siskin's father, Abraham Siskin and Mr. Levenson's father, David Levenson, alleging that the Defendants have violated federal trademark law, have engaged in unfair competition and have breached various contractual, fiduciary and common law duties owed to Diva. In this action, entitled DIVA ACQUISITION CORP. V. D. AARON, INC., ET AL., which is pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, the Company seeks, INTER ALIA, an injunction barring the defendants from using the name "D. Aaron" or any other name confusing similar to Diva's "David Aaron" trademark and trade name, injunctive relief barring Nadine Levenson and Yves Levenson from soliciting business from Diva's customers, disgorgement of certain moneys wrongfully obtained by the defendants, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

These actions are in the preliminary stages. Therefore, the financial statements do not include any provision with respect to these actions.

The following discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Financial Statements and Notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this document.

Statements in this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and elsewhere in this document as well as statements made in press releases and oral statements that may be made by the Company or by officers, directors or employees of the Company acting on the Company's behalf that are not statements of historical or current fact constitute "forward looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other unknown factors that could cause the actual results of the Company to be materially different from the historical results or from any future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In addition to statements which explicitly describe such risks and uncertainties, readers are urged to consider statements labeled with the terms "believes", "belief", "expects", "intends", "anticipates" or "plans" to be uncertain forward-looking. The forward looking statements contained herein are also subject generally to other risks and uncertainties that are described from time to time in the Company's reports and registration statements filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The following table sets forth information on operations for the periods indicated:

Percentage of Net Revenues
Three Months Ended
----------------March 31
------- -

CONSOLIDATED:

Net Sales
Cost of Sales
Other Operating Income
Operating Expenses
Income from Operations
Interest Income (Expense) Net
Income Before Income Taxes Net Income

1998
\$ 16,511,000
$16,511,000 \quad 100 \%$ \$ 13,218,000
9,485,000 $57 \quad 8,608,000$
65

362,000 3
4,309,000 33
663,000 5
$6,000 \quad 0$
669,000 5
401, 000

## Percentage of Net Revenues

Three Months Ended

March 31

By Segment

WHOLESALE DIVISIONS
STEVEN MADDEN, LTD.
Net Sales
$\$ 10,299,000$
$6,175,000$
81,000
$3,586,000$
619,000
\$ 1, 929, 000
100\% \$1,193,000
813, 000
462, 000
$(82,000)$
1,425,000
365, 000
139,000
7

1998
---

STEVEN MADDEN RETAIL INC.:

ADESSO MADDEN INC.:
(FIRST COST)
Net Sales
Cost of Sales
Commission Revenue
Total Operating Revenue
Operating Expenses
Income from Operations
Net Sales
Cost of Sales
Operating Expenses
Income from Operations

ESSO MADDEN INC.:

Cost of Sales
Other Operating Income Operating Expenses Income from Operations

DIVA ACQUISITION CORP.
Cost of Sales
Operating Expenses
Income (Loss) from Operations
-
\$ 4, 283, 000
1, 885, 000
100\%
44
51
2,183,000
\$1,555,000
758, 000
694, 000
103, 000
215,000
5

100\%
68

100\%
49
45

100\%
64
0
31 568
39
(7)
7
--
--
--
$100 \%$
62

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 1998 VS. THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 1997
CONSOLIDATED:
Sales for the three months ended March 31, 1998 were $\$ 16,511,000$, or $25 \%$ higher than the $\$ 13,218,000$ recorded in the comparable period of 1997 . The increase in sales is due to several factors including additional wholesale accounts, increased reorders, increased retail sales due to the opening of thirteen retail stores during 1997 and increased sales from the David Aaron brand. As a result of additional distribution, management feels that "Steve Madden" as a brand name has increased in popularity nationwide. In turn, increased sales have enabled the Company to expand its advertising and in store concept efforts, all of which have contributed to the continuing increase in sales.

Cost of sales as a percentage of sales decreased 8\% from 65\% in 1997 to 57\% in 1998. Increased sales volume has allowed the Company to purchase in larger volume, resulting in a lower cost per pair. Also, the purchase of a higher percentage of shoes from overseas suppliers, resulted in a lower cost per pair as compared to 1997. Gross profit as a percentage of sales increased 8\% from 35\% in 1997 to $43 \%$ in 1998.

Selling, general and administrative (SG\&A) expenses increased by 50\% to $\$ 6,451,000$ in 1998 from $\$ 4,309,000$ in 1997. The increase in the first quarter of 1998 reflects the costs incurred in implementing the Company's strategic plan to strengthen its management team and infrastructure, thereby laying the foundation for future growth. The increase in SG\&A is due primarily to a 64\% increase in payroll, bonuses and related expenses from \$1,508,000 in 1997 to $\$ 2,475,000$ in 1998. Also, the increase in the number of retail outlets and expanded office facilities resulted in an increase in occupancy, telephone, utilities, computer, legal, printing/supplies and depreciation expenses by 146\% from \$622,000 in 1997 to \$1,533,000 in 1998.

Income from operations for 1998 was $\$ 1,339,000$ which represents an increase of $\$ 676,000$ or $102 \%$ over the income from operations of $\$ 663,000$ in 1997. Net income increased by $93 \%$ to \$773,000 in 1998 from \$401,000 in 1997.

WHOLESALE DIVISIONS:
Sales from the Steve Madden Wholesale Division ("Madden Wholesale"), accounted for $\$ 10,299,000$ or $62 \%$ and $\$ 9,411,000$ or $71 \%$ of total sales in 1998 and 1997, respectively. Cost of sales as a percentage of sales has decreased by $4 \%$ from $64 \%$ in 1997 to $60 \%$ in 1998 in Madden Wholesale. Gross profit as a percentage of sales increased $4 \%$ from $36 \%$ in 1997 to $40 \%$ in 1998. Operating expenses increased by $24 \%$, from $\$ 2,891,000$ in 1997 to $\$ 3,586,000$ in 1998. This increase is due to an increase in payroll and payroll related expenses principally due to the hiring of additional management personnel and an increase
in occupancy expenses due to additional warehouse space needed for expanding EDI size replenishment inventory. Operating expenses have also increased due to the development of a new line of sneakers and the hiring of additional personnel to facilitate future growth of footwear classifications/extensions. Wholesale income from operations for the three month period ended March 31, 1998 was $\$ 619,000$ compared to income from operations of $\$ 480,000$ for the three month period ended March 31, 1997.

Sales from the Diva Acquisition Corp. Wholesale Division ("Diva Wholesale") which markets the "David Aaron" brand name in footwear accounted for \$1,929,000 or $12 \%$, and $\$ 1,193,000$ or $9 \%$, of total sales in 1998 and 1997, respectively. Cost of sales as a percentage of sales has increased by $6 \%$ from $68 \%$ in 1997 to $74 \%$ in Diva Wholesale. Gross profit as a percentage of sales decreased from 32\% in 1997 to $26 \%$ in 1998. Operating expenses decreased by $21 \%$ from $\$ 462,000$ in 1997 to $\$ 365,000$ in 1998 due to decreases in selling and designing expenses. Income from operations from Diva was $\$ 139,000$ in 1998 compared to a loss of $\$ 82,000$ in 1997.

## RETAIL DIVISION:

Sales from the Retail Division accounted for $\$ 4,283,000$ or $26 \%$ and $\$ 1,555,000$ or $12 \%$ of total revenues in 1998 and 1997, respectively. The comparable stores sales for the three months increased $1 \%$ over the same period of 1997. The increase in Retail Division sales is primarily due to the Company's opening of retail stores in Queens Center Mall in Elmhurst, NY and Lenox Square Mall in Atlanta, GA, in the second quarter of 1997, Willowbrook Mall in Wayne, NJ; Cherry Hill Mall in Cherry Hill, NJ; Staten Island Mall in Staten Island, NY; Glendale Galeria in Glendale, CA and Montgomery Mall in Bethesda MD, in the third quarter of 1997, Southshore Plaza in Braintree, MA; David Aaron in New York, NY; Smithhaven Mall in Lakegrove, NY; Coconut Grove Mall in Coconut Grove, FL; Broward Mall in Plantation, FL; Valleyfair Shopping Center in Santa Clara, CA, in the fourth quarter of 1997 and Aventura Mall in Aventura, FL, in the first quarter of 1998 all of which generated aggregate sales of $\$ 2,709,000$. Gross profit as a percentage of sales has increased by 5\% from 51\% in 1997 to $56 \%$ in 1998. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the Retail Division increased to $\$ 2,183,000$ or $51 \%$ of sales in 1998 from $\$ 694,000$ or $45 \%$ of sales in 1997. This increase is due to increases in payroll and related expenses, occupancy, printing, computer and depreciation expenses as a result of opening thirteen additional stores in 1997, one additional store in first quarter of 1998 and the addition of a retail warehouse at 43-15 38th Street, Long Island City, NY. Income from operations from the retail division was $\$ 215,000$ in 1998 compared to income from operations of \$103,000 in 1997.

OTHER:
Adesso-Madden, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, generated commission revenues of $\$ 683,000$ for the first three months of 1998 which represents an increase of $\$ 336,000$ or $97 \%$ over the commission revenues of $\$ 347,000$ in 1997. Operating expenses
increased by $21 \%$ from $\$ 262,000$ in 1997 to $\$ 317,000$ in 1998 due to increases in selling and commission, payroll and payroll related expenses, and telephone expenses. Income from operations from Adesso-Madden was \$366,000 in 1998 compared to an income of \$162,000 in 1997.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company has working capital of $\$ 18,146,000$ at March 31, 1998 which represents an increase of $\$ 2,995,000$ in working capital from March 31, 1997. During the first three months of 1998 the Company received proceeds of \$1,217,000 from the exercise of options.

In November 1997, Steven Madden, Ltd., engaged Hambrecht \& Quist, LLC as its exclusive placement agent in connection with a potential private placement of convertible securities. In May 1998 the Company terminated the engagement of Hambrecht \& Quist.

The Company's customers consist principally of department stores and specialty stores, including shoe boutiques. Presently, the Company sells approximately fifty percent (50\%) of its products to department stores, including Federated Department Stores (Bloomingdales, Burdines, Macy's East, Macy's West and Rich's) May Department Stores, Dillards, Nordstorm's, Dayton Hudson and approximately fifty percent (50\%) to specialty stores, including shoe stores such as Edison (Wild Pair, Precis, Bakers/Leeds) and junior clothing stores such as Urban Outfitters. Federated Department Stores presently accounts for approximately 16\% of the Company's sales.

## OPERATING ACTIVITIES

During the three month period ended March 31, 1998, cash used by operating activities was $\$ 1,162,000$. Uses of cash arose principally from an increase in accounts receivable non factored of $\$ 763,000$, an increase in accounts receivable factored of $\$ 1,104,000$ and an increase in inventories of $\$ 1,028,000$. Cash was provided principally by a decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets of $\$ 506,000$, an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of $\$ 166,000$ and decrease in prepaid taxes of $\$ 476,000$.

The Company has lease agreements for office, warehouse, and retail space, expiring at various times through 2007. Future obligations under these lease agreements total \$18,434,000.

The Company has employment agreements with various officers currently providing for aggregate annual salaries of approximately $\$ 1,145,000$, subject to annual bonuses and annual increases as may be determined by the Company's Board of Directors. In addition, as part of the employment agreements, the Company is committed to pay incentive bonuses based on sales, net income, or net income before interest and taxes to three officers.

One of such officers, Steve Madden, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, has entered into an amended employment agreement which eliminates the sales based bonus effective January, 1998. Mr. Madden's bonus, if any, is left to the discretion of the Board of Directors. The amended employment agreement provided a signing bonus of $\$ 200,000$.

The Company continues to increase its supply of products from foreign manufacturers, the majority of which are located in Brazil and Mexico. Although the Company has not entered into long-term manufacturing contracts with any of these foreign companies, the Company believes that a sufficient number of alternative sources exist outside of the United States for the manufacture of its products if current suppliers need to be replaced. In addition, because the Company deals with U.S. currency for all transactions and intends to continue to do so, the Company believes there should be no foreign exchange considerations.

## INVESTING ACTIVITIES

During the three month period ended March 31, 1998, the Company used cash of $\$ 826,000$ to acquire computer equipment and make leasehold improvements on new retail stores, warehouse space and office space. The Company also sold investment securities resulting in proceeds of \$504,000.

## FINANCING ACTIVITIES

During the three month period ended March 31, 1998, the Company received $\$ 1,217,000$ from the exercise of options and warrants. In March 1997, the Company issued 85,979 shares of common stock in payment of the note payable of $\$ 645,000$ issued in connection with the acquisition of Diva and subsequently issued 22,500 shares of common stock as additional purchase price.

## LICENSE AGREEMENTS

During the second quarter of 1997, the Company entered into three license agreements for hosiery, jewelry and ready-to-wear, bringing the total number of license agreements to six, including three license agreements entered into during the year ended December 31, 1997 for handbags, sunglasses and outerwear. We have also added our seventh license, Van Mar, Inc. for Steve Madden intimates whose contract begin April 1, 1998 and the Company also extended its agreement with CO International to include hair accessories in Canada due to the overwhelming request from our Eatons and Bay customers. The Company is exploring additional licensing opportunities.

On April 21, 1998 the Company, Steven Madden, LTD signed a license to market Lei Footwear, which fits into our revenue and profit growth strategy. LEI is a $\$ 130$ million jeanswear company and is among the most popular jean brands to young women 12-20.

This affords us the opportunity to reach a broader distribution channel than Steve Madden brand. The line will be geared to key items at a lower price than the Steve Madden brand.

## INFLATION

The Company does not believe that inflation has had a material adverse effect on sales or income during the past several years. Increases in supplies or other operating costs could adversely affect the Company's operations; however, the Company believes it could increase prices to offset increases in costs of goods sold or other operating costs.

ITEM 1.
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
LEVENSON V. DIVA, ET AL.
On or about March 13, 1998, the Company, its wholly owned subsidiary, Diva Acquisition Corp. ("Diva"), and its Chief Executive Officer were sued by Yves Levenson, the former President of Diva, as a result of the termination of Mr. Levenson's employment on March 5, 1998. In this action, entitled Yves Levenson v. Steve Madden, Steve Madden, Ltd. and Diva Acquisition Corp., which is pending in the Supreme Court of New York, Mr. Levenson alleged that (i) Diva has breached the terms of his employment agreement by improperly terminating his employment without cause, (ii) the restrictive covenant contained in his employment contract should be declared unenforceable because it improperly restricts his ability to earn a living, and (iii) the Company and Steve Madden tortiously interfered with Mr. Levenson's contract and/or his economic expectations.

On April 21, 1998, the Company and its Chief Executive Officer moved to dismiss Mr . Levenson's complaint as to them on the ground that his complaint failed to set forth a viable legal cause of action. That motion is presently pending. Also on April 21, Diva filed an answer denying Mr. Levenson's claims in their entirety. Pursuant to applicable rules, Mr. Levenson and Diva have commenced discovery.

On May 11, 1998, Mr. Levenson served an amended complaint in which, in addition to the claims asserted in his initial complaint, he has asserted two additional claims: (i) a claim against the Company as guarantor of Diva's financial obligations under Mr. Levenson's employment contract, and (ii) a claim against Diva under the New York Labor Law for unpaid wages. Pursuant to his amended complaint, Mr. Levenson seeks lost earnings under his employment agreement, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and legal costs.

The Company continues to believe that Mr. Levenson's claims are without merit, and intends to vigorously contest his lawsuit.

OOGA V. STEVEN MADDEN, LTD., ET AL.
On or about March 13, 1998, the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries, Steven Madden Retail, Inc. and Steven Madden, Inc., and Stav Efrat were sued by Ooga Associates Corp. ("Ooga"), a design and constuction firm previously engaged by the Company to design and construct certain of the Company's retail shoe stores. In this action, entitled Ooga Associates Corp. v. Steven Madden Inc., Steven Madden Retail, Inc., Steven Madden, Ltd. and Stav Efrat, which is pending in the Supreme Court of New York, County of New York, Ooga principally alleges that (i) the Company breached an oral contract pursuant to which it
engaged Ooga to exclusively design and build the Company's retail shoe stores, (ii) the Company induced Mr. Efrat, an officer and director of Ooga, to breach his fiduciary duties to Ooga by improperly employing his services, and (iii) the Company misappropriated Ooga's trade secrets by impermissibly using store designs and concepts owned by Ooga.

Since the commencement of Ooga's lawsuit, the Company and Ooga have engaged in extensive discussions concerning a potential future business relationship as a means of amicably resolving Ooga's claims. Pending completion of those discussions, the parties have agreed to defer the active prosecution of Ooga's lawsuit.

In the event that settlement discussions prove unsuccessful, the Company intends to vigorously contest Ooga's claims, which the Company believes to be completely meritless.

SISKIN V. DIVA AND STEVEN MADDEN, LTD.
On April 29, 1998, the Company and Diva were sued by David Siskin, Diva's former Vice President of Design, as a result of the termination of Mr. Siskin's employment on March 5, 1998. In this action, entitled DAVID AARON SISKIN V. DIVA ACQUISITION CORP. AND STEVEN MADDEN, LTD., which is pending in the Supreme Court of New York, County of New York, Mr. Siskin alleges that (i) Diva breached the terms of his employment agreement, (ii) Diva and the Company violated the New York Labor Law by failing to pay compensation due him under his employment agreement, (iii) Diva and the Company fraudulently induced Plaintiff to enter into his employment agreement, (iv) Diva and the Company breached their purported fiduciary duties to Mr. Siskin, (v) Diva and the Company wrongfully misappropriated properly belonging to Mr. Siskin, (vi) Diva and the Company wrongfully interfered with Mr. Siskin's ability to earn a bonus under his employment agreement, (vii) Diva and the Company have been unjustly enriched by virtue of their conduct towards Mr. Siskin, and (viii) the restrictive covenant contained in Mr. Siskin's employment agreement is unenforceable as a matter of law and diva should be permanently enjoined from enforcing it. In addition to the injunctive relief sought, Mr. Siskin seeks damages in an amount based on his prospective compensation under his employment agreement, plus an additional 25\% alleged to be due under the Labor Law, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, interest and costs.

Diva and the Company have not yet responded to Mr. Siskin's allegations. However, both Diva and the Company believe his claims to be completely without merit and intend to vigorously contest his lawsuit.

DIVA V. D. AARON
On May 11, 1998, Diva commenced an action in federal district court against D. Aaron, Inc., Nadine \& Co., Yves Levenson, David Siskin, Mr. Siskin's wife, Nadine Levenson, Mr. Siskin's father, Abraham Siskin and Mr. Levenson's father, David Levenson, alleging that the Defendants have violated federal trademark law, have engaged in unfair competition and have breached various contractual, fiduciary and common law duties owed to

Diva. In this action, entitled DIVA ACQUISITION CORP. V. D. AARON, INC., ET AL., which is pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, the Company seeks, inter alia, an injunction barring the defendants from using the name "D. Aaron" or any other name confusingly similar to Diva's "David Aaron" trademark and trade name, injunctive relief barring Nadine Levenson and Yves Levenson from soliciting business from Diva's customers, disgorgement of certain moneys wrongfully obtained by the defendants, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

Diva is in the process of serving the defendants, who have not yet responded to the allegations set forth in Diva's complaint.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report on Form $10-$ QSB to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

STEVEN MADDEN, LTD.
/s/ ARVIND DHARIA
--------------------------
Arvind Dharia Chief Financial Officer
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